UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/816,297 | 04/01/2004 | Kelli E. Prince | COS-799 DIV (API-1109-USD | 8588 |
| 25264 FINA TECHNO | 7590 06/02/200 DLOGY INC | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 67441 | 2 | BHAT, NINA NMN | | |
| HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1797 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/02/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/816,297 | PRINCE ET AL. | | | | |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAU INC DATE of this communication on | N. Bhat | 1797 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 N</u> | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | / - | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9-22</u> is/are pending in the ap | pplication, | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9-16</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | ar. | | | | | |
| · | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | 7 total (10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Goo the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/816,297 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's amendments and arguments of March 4, 2008 has been fully and carefully considered. Applicant's exhibits which depicts the figures of the EP 0724906 to Butler with respect to applicant's Figure 1 has been carefully reviewed and the examiner agrees with applicant that the baffles are disposed within the catalyst bed and not placed on the displacement member as claimed. Butler et al. do not teach or suggest applicant's concept of retrofitting at least one baffle to an outside of the top half of the displacement cylinder as claimed. Accordingly the 103(a) rejection as being obvious over EP 0724906 is withdrawn. Applicant has added method claims which depend from the apparatus. A terminal disclaimer over the 6,762,335 application is now required and these claims are subject to an obviousness-type double patenting rejection. A new rejection necessitated by amendment follows:
- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 17-22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,762,335. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and that of the patent claim a process of improving fluid flow

Application/Control Number: 10/816,297 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

uniformity in a gas phase reactor, the reactor includes a outer reaction vessel and inner displacement cylinder having a top half and bottom half and a reaction outer surface, the claim recites adding baffles on the outer reaction surface of the displacement cylinder to improve simulated fluid flows and adding the baffles to the displacement cylinder by entering the inner inert space of the cylinder and attaching the baffles to the reaction outer surface form the inner inert space. The displacement cylinder and location of the baffles are in the same place as claimed by applicant in the invention and in claim 2, the claims recite retrofitting an existing reactor wherein the baffles are added to the top half of the displacement cylinder without disassembly of the reactor or catalyst bed. The instant invention recites a method of using the reactor which includes the same reaction vessel, displacement cylinder and baffles being located in the same orientation and position as claimed in the patent, the baffles being added or retrofitted in existing reactors and therefore the claims overlap in subject matter the claims are obvious variations of the process claims already patented and would result in an unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees.

- 4. A timely filed and properly executed Terminal Disclaimer would place this application in condition for allowance.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/816,297 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-1397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. Bhat/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797